IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 12649 of 2004

Between:

C.R.K. Sagar S/o Venkatacharyulu
R/o Plot No. 60, South End Park Mansoorabad, Hyderabad

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Assistant Commissioner of Labour A.P. Shops and Establishments, Hyderabad-I, Anjaiah Bhavan, RTC X Roads, Hyderabad
2 The Vice-President (Presonnel) Albert David Ltd., 15, Chittaranjan Avenue, Kolkota
3 The Regional Sales Manager, Albert David Ltd., Plot No.41, Sheshachala Colony, West Marredpally, Secunderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the 1st respondent in not disposing of my application seeking production of the relevant documents, filed vide I.A. No. 1 of 2004 in SE No. 2 of 2004 on the file of the 1st respondent by exercising the power conferred in him as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct the 1st respondent in turn to direct the respondents 2 and 3 to cause production of the documents mentioned in the petition filed before the Authority vide IA No. 1 of 2004 in S.E. No.2 of 2004

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.J.UGRANARASIMHA

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR LABOUR

The Court made the following :

:ORAL ORDER:

The petitioner approached this Court with a grievance that the Assistant Commissioner of Labour did not accede to his request for causing production of certain documents supposed to be relevant at the time of adducing evidence. The Assistant Commissioner of Labour passed the impugned order on 06-07-2004, on the ground that opposite party stated that the records sought to be produced are irrelevant and the petitioner is having copies of those documents.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is seeking production of service registers of other employees to compare as to how he was hostilely discriminated and that he is entitled to get his case compared with the cases of other employees.

The petitioner is not entitled for any relevant documents. Hence the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

21st day of JULY 2004

mjl/*

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

1 The Assistant Commissioner of Labour A.P. Shops and Establishments, Hyderabad-I, Anjaiah Bhavan, RTC X Roads, Hyderabad

2 The Vice-President (Presonnel) Albert David Ltd., 15, Chittaranjan Avenue, Kolkota

3 The Regional Sales Manager, Albert David Ltd., Plot No.41, Sheshachala Colony, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.

4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Labour

5. 2 CD copies.